# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

# MISCELLANEOUS FIELD STUDIES MAP MF-2407-B version 1.0

Map Showing Iron Concentrations from Stream Sediments and Soils Throughout the Humboldt River Basin and Surrounding Areas, Northern Nevada By Douglas B. Yager and Helen W. Folger, 2003

NATIONAL VERTICAL GEODETIC DATUM OF 1929

**CONTOUR INTERVAL 500 FEET** 

SCALE 1:500,000

Base from U.S. Geological Survey, 1965 Lambert Conformal Conic Projection based on standard parallels 33 degrees and 45degrees

# The distribution of iron in stream sediments and soils in the Humboldt River basin and surrounding area

In 1995, the U.S. Bureau of Land Management and the U.S. Geological Survey identified iron along with 12 other elements to investigate within the Humboldt River basin located in northern Nevada. These elements are important because of their role as pathfinder elements for mineral deposits or as potential toxins in the environment. This report is one of the 13 separate published reports (MF-2407-A-M) that integrate the results of two geochemical studies conducted by the U.S. Geological Survey and that present geochemical maps created using computer models of stream-sediment and soil geochemistry. The other 12 reports present geochemical maps for Ag, As, Au, Ce, Co, Cu, Ni, Pb, Sb, Sc, Se, and Zn. These geochemical maps provide a visual aid to interpreting the trends and anomalies in element concentration when combined with information about the geology, topography, and mining districts in the Humboldt River basin. The Humboldt River basin is a naturally occurring, internally draining river basin that covers approximately 43,700 square kilometers (16,900 square miles) and forms a substantial part of the larger Great Basin. The Humboldt River basin includes the upper reaches of the Little Humboldt River in Elko County, the Reese River in Lander County, and the main Humboldt River and its many tributaries that flow ultimately westward into the Humboldt Sink. Figure 1 shows the map area and the Humboldt River basin.

Stream-sediment and soil samples originally collected for the NURE (National Uranium Resource Evaluation) program were reanalyzed in 1994 for the Winnemucca-Surprise mineral resource assessment (3,523 samples; King and others, 1996) and in 1996 for the mineral and environmental assessment of the Humboldt River basin (3,712 samples; Folger, 2000) (fig. 2). An additional 206 stream-sediment samples were collected for the Winnemucca-Surprise mineral resource assessment by the USGS to fill gaps in the sample coverage. The combined sample coverage is generally spatially uniform with a sample density of one sample site per 17 square kilometers. Sample density is greatest along range fronts and sparsest along mountain ridges and broad valley bottoms.

## Sample analysis

The -80 (<180 micrometers) or -100 (<150 micrometers) sieve mesh grain-size fractions of stream-sediment and soil samples were selected for reanalysis. The samples were prepared using a sequence of strong acids, including hydrofluoric acid, and analyzed by Inductively-Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES) (Briggs, 1996). This digestion method dissolves complex silicates; however, iron may be underestimated in highly siliceous samples. There were no qualified values (below the limit of detection) in the Winnemucca-Surprise and Humboldt River basin. Table 1 contains the statistical profile and lower limits of determination (LLD) of the two datasets. The histograms in figure 3 illustrate the lognormal distributions of analytical results for samples in the study area. To enhance the continuity of data, the two datasets were combined into a single dataset and plotted on the thematic map.

Iron (Fe), a transition metal, is one of the most abundant elements in the lithosphere and an element of interest within the Humboldt River basin. Iron commonly occurs in mineral form as sulfides, oxides, and hydroxide compounds in soils and sediments. They are readily weathered and areas of Fe-enrichment usually reflect the elemental contribution from several of these forms. It is iron's association with trace elements and ore metals such as As, Cu, Cd, Ag, Pb, Zn, and Au that that make it a useful pathfinder element.

Globally, the concentration of iron is most enriched in ultramafic rocks (9.4 to 10 %) and mafic

rocks (5.6 to 8.7 %) and ranges from 1.4 to 3.7 % for other igneous rock types (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 1992). Iron concentration in sedimentary rocks ranges from 3.3 to 4.8 % in shale and argillaceous sediments and 0.4 to 3.0 % in sandstone and carbonate rocks. Iron concentrations in the Humboldt River basin range from 0.21 to 31 %. The geochemistry of Fe is very complex and is in general governed by the Eh-pH system of the surrounding environment. It can be generalized that Fe is mobilized in acid and reducing environments and precipitates in oxidizing and alkaline environments (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 1992).

# **Construction of thematic maps**

The thematic map is a useful format for representing the regional variation in geochemical concentration between samples. The approach used for each dataset was to (a) transform every concentration to the logarithm of the concentration for the element and (b) calculate the mean and standard deviation of the log-transformed data. Element concentrations are now expressed as a logarithm and are classified by standard deviations above or below the mean. The standard deviation category for each sample is indicated by a color symbol. Samples with standard deviations below the mean were assigned the "cool" hues of blues and greens, and samples with standard deviations above the mean were assigned the "warm" hues of gold, orange, and red. A small geochemistry map (fig. 4) was generated from the data using a Geosoft software version of the minimum-curvature algorithm. The minimum curvature algorithm (Briggs, 1974; Webring, 1981) is useful in fitting a surface to closely spaced and gradually varying data while interpolating smoothly between widely spaced data. Data gaps, while conservatively interpolated, may occasionally allow the surface to overshoot or undershoot. Contour intervals on the thematic map are calculated from the minimum curvature grid values and provide an indicator of the generalized spatial continuity of geochemical trends.

#### References

Briggs, Ian C., 1974, Machine contouring using minimum curvature: Geophysics, v. 39, no. 1, p. 39-48.

Briggs, P.H., 1996, Forty elements by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry, in Arbogast, B.F., ed., Analytical methods manual

for the Mineral Resource Surveys Program, U.S. Geological Survey: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-525, p. 77-94.

Folger, H.W., 2000, Analytical results and sample locations of reanalyzed NURE stream-sediment and soil samples for the Humboldt River basin Mineral-Environmental Resource Assessment, northern Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 00-421, 491 p.

Kabata-Pendias, Alina, and Pendias, Henryk, 1992, Trace elements in soils and plants—Second edition: CRC Press, 365 p.

King, H.D., Fey, D.L., Matooka, J.M., Knight, R.J., Roushey, B.H., and McGuire, D.J., 1996, Analytical data and sample locality map of stream-sediment and soil samples from the Winnemucca-Surprise Resource Area, northwest Nevada and northeast California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-062-A (paper) and 96-062-B (diskette), 341 p.

Webring, Michael, 1981, MINC: A gridding program based on minimum curvature: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 81-1224, 41 p.

### Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Karen Kelley, Steven Smith, and Craig Brunstein (U.S. Geological Survey) for their reviews of this report.

### **Figures**

Figure 2. Winnemucca-Surprise mineral resource assessment and Humboldt River basin mineral and environmental assessment sample localities in green and red, respectively.

Figure 3. Overlapping histograms of logtransformed iron values. Humboldt River basin in blue and Winnemucca-Surprise in yellow, and where there is overlap, the histograms are green.

Figure 4. Continuous surface model of Fe analyses.

Table 1. Statistics for scandium. LLD, lower limit of determination; N, number; Dev, deviation.

Manuscript approved for publication September 23, 2002

Any use of trade names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey

For sale by U.S. Geological Survey Information Services Box 25286, Federal Center, Denver, CO 80225

This map was produced on request, directly from digital files, on an electronic plotter. It is also available as a PDF file at http://geology.cr.usgs.gov